The application and evaluation of adaptive hypermedia techniques in Web-based medical education

Muan Hong Ng,* Wendy Hall,* Pat Maier* and Ray Armstrong**
*University of Southampton  **Rheumatology Unit, Southampton General Hospital
email: mhn99r@ecs.soton.ac.uk, wh@ecs.soton.ac.uk

This article discusses the design issues involved in delivering Web-based learning materials. An existing application in the medical domain – JointZone – is used to illustrate how personalization and an interactive environment can be incorporated into Web-based learning. This work applies the combination of an adaptive hypermedia, situated-learning approach and hypermedia linking concepts to facilitate online learning. A usability study was carried out on the work described and an evaluation was undertaken to measure the effect of personalization on various learning factors. The evaluation outcome was analysed subjectively and objectively. The results proved to be contradictory but, nevertheless, the work gives new insights into the use of technology to support learning.
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Introduction
The Web has a profound influence on teaching and learning today especially in higher education. The evidence can be seen from simple online lecture notes to more complicated tutoring applications on the Web. The popularity of Web-based learning lies in the strengths of its distributed nature and the ease of its browsing facility. On the other hand, emerging technology such as adaptive hypermedia brings new flexibility and the capability of personalization into the conventional static presentation of materials on the Web. Adaptive hypermedia is a recent direction of research at the crossroads of hypertext/hypermedia and user modelling (Brusilovsky, 2001; De Bra, Brusilovsky and Houben, 1999b). The goals of this research are to improve hypermedia usability and achieve personalization by bringing the right content to the right person at the right time and the right place.

A survey of medical training in the United Kingdom (Towler, 1991) proposed replacing the conventional theory-based teaching methods with an emphasis on the development of medical skills. In addressing this issue, a number of computer-based systems have been developed, such as MR Tutor (Sharples, du Boulay, Teather, Teather, Jeffrey and du Boulay, 1995) for radiology training and ATLAS (Garlatti and Sharples, 1998) in neuroradiology.

In this article, we outline the collaborative experience and strategies taken in developing a Web-based learning application in rheumatology – namely JointZone. JointZone (Armstrong, Hall, Maier and Ng, 2002; Ng, Armstrong, Hall and Maier, 2002a) was developed primarily for university medical students. The content exists in the form of an ‘electronic online textbook’ which is liberally illustrated. A number of video clips and over 700 illustrations have been included. In an additional section, there are a total of 30 case studies. The ‘virtual patients’ in the case studies present rheumatic disorders set in a variety of clinical scenarios, most of which arise frequently in the typical district general hospital rheumatology clinics. It was a joint project involving a medical expert generating the content and an educational developer tackling the pedagogical issues of the Website. The Website shows an example of how adaptive hypermedia can be used to present a personalized learning experience. An evaluation has been carried out with medical students to look specifically at how the integration of adaptive hypermedia supports learning in this environment.

To provide some background, this article will first describe the pedagogical rationale and the approach to hypermedia linking that was fundamental to the development of the application. Then, it explains more about adaptive hypermedia and how various adaptive features were integrated into JointZone. Finally, the article presents the results of the evaluation undertaken to assess the effectiveness of the adaptive features, and concludes with a discussion including suggestions for future work.

Background

Pedagogical rationale
The theory of situated learning promotes the acquisition of knowledge in a meaningful context (Brown, Collins and Duguid, 1989; Herrington and Oliver, 1995). In the situated mode of learning, students are put through authentic life experiences where knowledge
Examination

You have taken the patient's history and now you must examine the patient. Patient's general appearance: She looks well. There is no sign of anaemia or oedema and she seems to have a normal

Examination Findings:
- TEMPOROMANDIBULAR JOINTS: Normal

Patient's History
I feel generally tired and rather low.

Hypermedia is capable of presenting engaging interactions with the students and at the same time providing a database of information to support learning (Harley, 1993; Reeves, 1993). Hypermedia can be used to provide an alternative for the real-life settings by enriching the learning experience with graphics, videos, audio and text simulation. The emergence of adaptive hypermedia further ensures that the provision of learning materials can be adapted to different needs and knowledge background of the users.

The approach of situated learning prompted the integration of interactive case studies in JointZone to simulate the clinical diagnosis process of a rheumatologist. The case studies, which are developed by a rheumatologist, provide a real life clinical context where students can actively engage in problem-solving rather than being passive recipients of information. Students are able to develop procedural knowledge which includes interpretation of clinical information and decision-making. The case studies are graded into three levels: beginner, intermediate and advanced. For the beginner or intermediate cases, the scope of patient scenarios is narrower and the diagnosis choices are more restricted compared with advanced cases (see Figure 1). At every stage in a case study, relevant feedback and responses are given to users so that they can benefit from the expert's experience and opinion (see Figure 2). In order to relate the case studies (procedural knowledge) to the
1. Patient works as a plasterer.

- Plasterers are usually young so degenerative arthritis is unlikely.
- Inflammatory disorders can occur at all ages.
- Repetitive manual activities may cause soft tissue disorders.
- Pain in the arm is common in plasterers.

2. Dominant limb affected.

- Rheumatic disorders are usually worse in the right arm.

Feedback 1:
Plasterers are not necessarily always young and degenerative arthritis may occur in the 40s, particularly following physical trauma. Inflammatory disorders can indeed occur at all ages but we have no particular clues on this occasion to suggest that this is an inflammatory condition. Repetitive manual activities may indeed be important in the generation of some soft tissue rheumatic disorders. We have no reason to believe that pain in the arm occurs more commonly in plastering than in most other manual jobs.

Figure 2: The beginner case study gives immediate response to user input and relevant feedback from an expert point of view.

Figure 3: Adaptive reading room for intermediate level.

- polymyalgia rheumatica

A condition characterised by limb girdle pain and stiffness. It is associated with a high ESR (usually > 40mm/h) and there may be giant cell arteritis in some patients. It mainly affects those of over 60 years of age and the symptoms are completely relieved by treatment with corticosteroid in moderate doses. It is usually a self-limited condition.

Endocrine: Thyroid disease

Lower Limb Pain: Hip pain in adults

factual contents of the application (declarative knowledge), a list of reading pages is given at the end of every case study for the purpose of further reading on a particular disease or fact (see Figure 3). The number of pages proposed for further reading depends on the level of knowledge of the users. The motivation for this is to expose more learning concepts to users of higher competency and to avoid overloading users of lower competency.
Hypermedia linking

It is important that information is well cross-referenced to ensure the ease of navigation through learning materials on the Web. Easy accessibility to pieces of information such as text, video, audio or images is important to avoid the user being frustrated during the learning process. The issues of how and what to link are non-trivial in order to present learning materials more effectively to the users. In JointZone, three types of links are used (Lowe and Hall, 1999: 33) and they each serve a different purpose. The three types of links are:

- **Structural links** which provide navigation by connecting pages according to the structural design of the site.

- **Referential links** (or glossary links) which provide answers to the question of ‘what is x?’. They link a word to its explanation, which can be a mixture of text, image or video.

- **Associative links** provide learners with the opportunity to find out more about a concept. They interlink pages of related concepts according to the semantics of the domain.

![JointZone example](image)

Figure 4: An example of a glossary (referential) link in JointZone

**Structural links**, which are widely used in the site map and table of contents, are the most common links followed by the users to navigate from one place to another within the Website. **Referential links** (see Figure 4) are used to check out the meaning of a word or a phrase that appears in a predefined glossary database. They are found everywhere in the site where a glossary word appears. These links are implemented based on the Microcosm generic linking mechanism (Fountain, Hall, Heath and Davis, 1990) which enables the links to be generated automatically. Lastly, **associative links** are used to interlink pages, either internally or with an external site, that are related by a common context. For example, when a user is looking at the context of a disease – ‘osteoarthritis of the knee’, they could follow the associative links to find out more about the general concept of ‘osteoarthritis’ or the disease management on ‘knee surgery’. All links except structural links are stored in link databases. This separation of links from the documents enables...
more flexibility in link management. For example, any update to a referential or associative link need only be done once in the database and the changes will be propagated to the instantiation of links in the domain.

The details of how the three types of links are created in JointZone are reported elsewhere (Ng et al., 2002a).

Implementation of adaptive hypermedia features in JointZone

Adaptive hypermedia
The paradigm of Web publishing has shifted from static to dynamic presentation. A Web site no longer displays static information but rather is capable of adapting its content to perceived user needs and individual knowledge. The relatively new idea of adaptive hypermedia (AH) leads to applications that reflect knowledge about the components of a learning environment. Adaptive applications model the domain knowledge they represent and actively capture information about users as they interact with the application. The users’ cognitive burden regarding what links to follow is transferred to the system, thus reducing the problems of information overload and ‘being lost in information space’ (Thuring, Hannemann and Haake, 1995).

An AH system normally consists of a user model (that describes information about the user such as their knowledge, preference etc), a domain model (describes the domain subject at the conceptual level) and an adaptation model (describes how the adaptation can be done) (De Bra, Houben and Wu, 1999a; De Bra and Brusilovsky, 1998). Users’ individual differences and needs are met through two levels of adaptation: adaptive navigation support (ANS) and adaptive presentation (Brusilovsky, 2001; De Bra, 1999). Adaptive presentation adapts content to users by presenting different versions of the same piece of information based on their needs. ANS adapts to users by tailoring the presentation of links. Techniques in this area include link hiding, link sorting, link annotation, direct guidance and map adaptation (Brusilovsky, 2001). Link hiding is achieved by disabling the functionality of irrelevant links in an attempt to limit users to only the set of links relevant to their goals or background knowledge. Link annotation is achieved by annotating links with extra information about the links. For example ELM-ART (Brusilovsky, Schwarz and Weber, 1996) uses a traffic-light metaphor where different coloured balls are used to annotate links in order to indicate whether the user is ready for the links.

The basis of adaptation in JointZone
The literature shows that in most educational AH systems, the idea of curriculum sequencing is used to model the domain into prerequisites, remedial and outcome topics before the aggregated learning materials are presented adaptively to the students based on their current level of knowledge (Stern and Woolf, 2000; Brusilovsky et al., 1996; Brusilovsky, Eklund and Schwarz, 1998; De Bra and Calvi, 1998). From the perspective of authoring, this method increases the burden on the domain expert manually to design a prerequisite network of the contents. In some cases this problem is solved because the application designers are experts in the information domain themselves, a reason why many educational AH applications are built in the domain of computer science (Stern and Woolf, 2000; Brusilovsky et al., 1996; De Bra and Calvi, 1998).
Domain model
The content of JointZone is in the medical domain and the domain experts do not have enough time manually to sequence the content. Hence we adopted the idea of text processing from the area of information retrieval to identify the semantics of the contents (Ng et al., 2002a). This method freed us from requiring prohibitive effort from the domain expert in organizing and labelling the content. Text processing is applied to the contents in order to extract keywords that can be used as indexing terms for the documents. Indexing terms are (document) words whose semantics reflect the document’s main themes. They are used to index or summarize a document (Baeze-Yates and Ribeiro-Neto, 1999).

Knowledge-based adaptation model
The availability of keywords to represent the documents conceptually enables link-level adaptation based on the user’s background knowledge in rheumatology. This is achieved using link hiding. For example, for the topic of ‘Milwaukee shoulder’, advanced students are provided with access to documents about the concepts: ‘Milwaukee shoulder, hydroxyapatite, crystal, polymyalgia’ (four concepts), while intermediate students are directed to only three concepts namely ‘Milwaukee shoulder, hydroxyapatite, crystal’, and beginners are simply shown the single concept of ‘Milwaukee shoulder’. The domain expert identifies these three sets of concepts for three different user groups (advanced, intermediate and beginner), which is an easier task for them compared with delivering a prerequisite network of documents. From the user’s point of view, this incremental way of linking through link hiding helps reduce information overload, since fewer reading pages are directed to less well-equipped students. However, in order to be consistent with the philosophy of an unobtrusive learning environment, there is no restriction for any user group should they wish to investigate further; that is, the reading documents allocated for the advanced level are still accessible to a beginner.

User model
As for user modelling, the user’s knowledge levels are drawn from a ‘prior knowledge online test’ given at the first login to the application. This test categorizes users into one of three knowledge levels, and on subsequent access the users are transferred automatically from one user group to another based on their performance in previous case studies. JointZone also captures the user’s browsing history (pages visited) in order to provide another type of link-level adaptation – namely history-based link annotation. The system keeps a record of a user’s individual effective reading speed (Jackson and McClelland, 1979) which is assigned when they first use the application. An ‘effort index’ (Ng, Hall, Maier and Armstrong, 2002b) is then calculated for each document visited. A page will have a higher effort index if the page display time approximates an optimal reading time. The optimal reading time is measured based on the length of the page and the individual effective reading speed.

History-based adaptation model
The effort index stored in the user model is used to construct a reading gauge (see Figure 5), which is then used to annotate links. This provides history-based link annotation which gives users additional information on how much of a page has been read and helps them to focus on pages on which they have spent little or no time.
Personalization features in JointZone

JointZone implements various personalized features based on the history-based link annotation and knowledge-based link hiding techniques mentioned above. These features include:

- a personalized reading room (see Figure 3) that adapts to each interactive case study to provide further reading on concepts related to the cases;
- a personalized site map (see Figure 6) that serves as a navigational tool;
- a personalized topic map (see Figure 7) that encourages goal-oriented reading by adapting pages to the user's short-term reading goal (a self-selected reading topic), browsing history and knowledge level.

For all the above AH features, the combination of adaptation mechanisms based on the user's browsing history and knowledge level helps users to focus on the number of documents that are suitable to their knowledge background, and at the same time helps users obtain extra information on what they have already read and how much they have read during previous visits to the site.
Usability study

To assess the usability of the application prior to the evaluation of the adaptive hypermedia features, a usability study on JointZone was carried out with 29 undergraduate students who were taking a course module on Human-Computer Interaction (HCI). The subjects consisted of 3 females and 26 males with an average age of 19 (stdev = 2.06).

In the study, the students were first asked to fill in an online demographic questionnaire. Then they were shown a list of online instructions to guide them to the site. Based on these instructions, users had to explore JointZone by observing its structure, navigation facilities and presentation styles. They were given a set of tasks such as: look up the meaning of a medical term using the site, locate a document and attempt an interactive case study. Finally, they were asked to complete an online feedback questionnaire (see Appendix A) created using a list of guidelines suggested by Preece (2000) for evaluating Websites. The questions in the questionnaire were categorized into navigation (the ease of getting around the site), accessibility (the ease of the authentication procedure and page loading time), presentation (site layout and graphical design) and subjective feedback (the users’ opinion of the site). The usability result was calculated by dividing the total feedback score on the Likert scale by the number of users and the number of questions for each category.

As seen from the chart below (see Figure 8), the result shows no major concern with the overall usability of the Website since all four categories achieved an average score of above 3. The accessibility perspective of the Website gained the highest score reflecting the ease of using the Website. This is even though most subjects were using a relatively slow connection (accessed from home using a standard 56k (or slower) telephone modem) during the study.
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Figure 8: Usability result on the Likert scale

Evaluating adaptive hypermedia

Educational hypermedia was the first application area to see the introduction of adaptive hypermedia techniques before the research extended to other areas such as tourism, e-commerce and news portals. Classical projects such as ELM-ART (Brusilovsky et al., 1996) and AHA! (De Bra and Calvi, 1998) are Web-based systems used to develop adaptive online courses. However, there is a significant lack of evaluation in this area and much debate as to how an AH application should be evaluated. The conventional method of comparing an adaptive and non-adaptive version of an application is debatable (De Bra, 2000) as most adaptive systems are developed with particular adaptive techniques in mind and removal of these techniques causes a loss of the system's basic functionality. Therefore, any evaluation using AH vs non-AH techniques will not result in a fair comparison. The basic non-adaptive design of JointZone was optimized based on the usability study before any personalized mechanism was integrated into the site. This was to make sure that the basic functionality of the Website was not overshadowed by the advantages given by the personalized mechanisms. This provided a fairer comparison between the adaptive and non-adaptive version of JointZone.

A recent approach has been proposed by Karagiannidis, Sampson and Brusilovsky (2001), which is known as layered evaluation. This approach suggests two layers of assessment:

- Interaction assessment layer – assess whether the assumption drawn by the system concerning characteristics of the user-computer interaction is valid, for example, is the inference of the user's knowledge level accurate?

- Adaptation decision layer – assess whether the adaptation decision is valid and meaningful, for example, is the set of links presented based on the user model meaningful?

This approach provides researchers with the opportunity to identify the problems behind a failed implementation and to target the solutions accordingly (Brusilovsky, Karagiannidis...
and Sampson, 2001). For example, it can be the case that the adaptation decisions are reasonable, but they are based on incorrect system assumptions; or that the system assumptions are correct but the adaptation decision is not meaningful, or both cases can happen at the same time. The evaluation of JointZone followed this approach in order to target a solution properly should any problem arise.

In previous work, some of the comprehensive evaluations that were performed in educational AH have shown encouraging results. For example, the empirical studies performed by Boyle and Encarnacion in MetaDoc (Boyle and Encarnacion, 1994) have indicated that adaptive presentation can improve content understanding. Also, an empirical study of ISIS Tutor (Brusilovsky and Pesin, 1998) has shown that adaptive navigation support can increase the speed of navigation in learning for certain groups of users.

**Experimental evaluation of JointZone**

The purpose of the evaluation of JointZone was to find out whether:

- the personalized features *facilitate* the process of learning in JointZone by reducing the time taken to look for materials on the site and reducing the number of navigational steps;
- the personalized features *improve* learning in JointZone in comparison with learning without the presence of any personalized mechanism.

The evaluation on JointZone was performed with university medical students. A number of sessions were carried out involving a total of 36 students (see Table 1) with the same level of prior knowledge (T-test, t=0.298, sig.=0.768). Before each session the subjects were given an overview of the Website and were taught how to use the personalized topic map. After each session, the students were interviewed individually to find out if they had any problems or comments on the Website.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Year 1</th>
<th>Year 2</th>
<th>Year 3</th>
<th>Year 4</th>
<th>Year 5</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Average prior knowledge</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group 1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>51.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: The distribution of subjects in the experiment according to their year of study and their average score on the prior knowledge test

During the evaluation, the students were randomly assigned into two groups: the first group used the personalized version of JointZone and the second group used the non-personalized version of the site. A series of predefined tasks were set up for the students, asking them to look up information using the topic map and to try out the case studies. Pre- and post-tests were used to assess the user's improvement in learning. Time taken and the number of navigational steps required to complete a task were logged in order to assess whether the personalized features affected either of these metrics.
Results

The results are grouped into objective and subjective feedback. The subjective feedback is based on the user's opinion collected from the questionnaire whereas the objective feedback is based on the dependent factors such as time taken, performance in the pre- and post-tests, and navigational steps.

Subjective feedback on navigational features

Figure 9 shows the general subjective feedback on the various navigation features in JointZone. As indicated in Table 2, all except one of the subjective user feedback results are significantly positive, above a confidence level of $p < 0.01$. This result shows that students welcomed the idea of using a variety of navigational support mechanisms to help them to get to a piece of information faster and easier. In the case of users' preferences between a personalized site map and a table of contents, 50 per cent of the users preferred the former and 31 per cent had no preference. This indicates a browsing style where users were still not prepared to give up the conventional table of contents as a navigational aid but at the same time they welcomed the idea of a personalized site map.

![User Subjective Feedback (General)](table)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feedback</th>
<th>% positive feedback</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Topic map is helpful to locate information</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topic map is easy to use</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading room is helpful to find extra information</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I will use reading room after each case study</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I prefer the personalized site map to the table of content</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personalized site map is useful in navigation</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Summary of the general subjective feedback from users on the various navigation mechanisms in JointZone
Subjective feedback on adaptive link hiding and adaptive link annotation

There was inconsistent subjective feedback from the students regarding the various adaptive features integrated into these navigation mechanisms. As shown in Figure 10, a significant number of students felt that there were too many links suggested in the adaptive reading room. However, in the case of the non-adaptive reading room, no significant conclusion can be drawn to show that users are satisfied in terms of the number of links suggested (see Table 3). This scenario suggests that users needed some sort of filtering mechanism in the reading room but the current implementation of adaptive link hiding is not capable of delivering the right set of links. The reason for the negative opinion on adaptive link hiding in the reading room could be due to the incorrect adaptation on decision-making by the system. For example, a student might be wrongly assigned to a user group that is of a higher level than their knowledge level; hence he or she is overwhelmed by the number of links suggested. The evidence of incorrect assignment of user group can be seen from the poor performance of subjects in the case studies, as will be shown later in this section.

![Subjective Feedback (Adaptive link hiding)](image)

Figure 10: The subjective feedback on the number of links suggested in the reading room, with and without adaptive link hiding

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjective feedback</th>
<th>Majority result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of links in reading room with adaptive linking</td>
<td>52% (too many)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of links in reading room with non-adaptive linking</td>
<td>47% (too many)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of links in reading room</td>
<td>40% (just nice)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Summary of subjective feedback on adaptive link hiding in JointZone.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjective feedback</th>
<th>Majority result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reading gauge is helpful in personalized topic map</td>
<td>50% (neutral)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading gauge is helpful in personalized site map</td>
<td>84% (agree)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Summary of subjective feedback on adaptive history-based link annotation in JointZone
In the case of the feedback on the history-based link annotations using the reading gauge, users found them useful on the personalized site map but not significantly useful in the case of the personalized topic map (see Figure 11). The main reason could lie in the nature of the task being assigned to the students when using the topic map in the experiment. The task is concerned with looking up information, hence the focus of the students was more on finding answers regardless of whether they have or have not read a page. In addition, the presence of the reading gauge might not have the initial expected impact since the browsing history might not have been sufficiently built up due to the short period of experiment time. The personalized site map received better feedback as it shows all pages; hence changes in the reading gauge are more significant. At the same time, the personalized site map serves a different purpose, that of revision or general reading; thus the importance of the reading gauge is more significant in tasks of this nature. This might explain why users find the reading gauge more useful in the personalized site map as compared with the personalized topic map.

**Objective feedback on topic map**

In the experiment, the users' objective feedback was captured and analysed through various learning factors such as the time taken to complete a learning task, the learning performance gathered from pre- and post-tests and the number of navigation steps required. Two groups with two different experimental conditions were set the same task of learning about 'psoriatic arthritis'. The first group used a topic map (without any adaptive features) and the second group had the aid of the table of contents for free browsing. The effect of using navigation tools in supporting learning can be seen from this experiment. As compared to free browsing, the results (see Table 5 and Figure 12) indicate that the topic map significantly reduces the time taken and the number of navigation steps required to complete a task. The learning performance of students in the topic map group was also significantly better.
Table 5: Summary of the effect of using topic map and free browsing on time taken, performance and navigational steps

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Learning factors (objective feedback)</th>
<th>Experiment condition</th>
<th>Independent t-test (equal variance assumed)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Topic map</td>
<td>Free browsing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time taken to complete a task (minutes)</td>
<td>13.8*</td>
<td>22.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learning performance (~10 to 10)</td>
<td>7.69*</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navigational steps (average)</td>
<td>6.3*</td>
<td>21.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

** Statistically significant at 5 per cent level  *** Statistically significant at 1 per cent level  * Best result

Objective feedback on personalization

In analysing the effect of personalization on learning factors, two groups of users were asked to use the personalized and non-personalized topic map respectively for a task of learning about rheumatoid arthritis. As seen from the results (Figure 13 and Table 6), the integration of personalized features on the navigation tool (topic map) did not result in any significant improvement in terms of the time factor and of learning performance. However, it did significantly reduce the number of navigational steps required to complete the learning task. Hence, it can be concluded that the personalization features on the topic map in JointZone do not improve learning (performance) but partially facilitate the process of learning since they do help in reducing the number of navigational steps significantly but not in the time taken.
Comparison of Time, Score and Navigation Step between

- Non-Adaptive Topic Map
- Adaptive Topic Map

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement Unit</th>
<th>Non-Adaptive Topic Map</th>
<th>Adaptive Topic Map</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Time taken to complete Task</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>28.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance (Post-Pre-test)</td>
<td>6.07</td>
<td>5.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navigation Steps taken to complete task</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Dependent Variables**

Figure 13: The effect of personalizing navigation tools in learning

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Learning factors (objective feedback)</th>
<th>Experiment conditions</th>
<th>Independent t-test (equal variance assumed)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Time taken to complete task (minutes)</td>
<td>22.5, 22</td>
<td>t = 0.107, p = 0.916 (insignificant)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learning performance (-10 to 10)</td>
<td>6.07, 5.25</td>
<td>t = 1.045, p = 0.308 (insignificant)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navigational steps</td>
<td>28.5, 15.1</td>
<td>t = 2.271, p = 0.036**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Statistically significant at 5 per cent level

Table 6: Summary of the effect of personalizing navigation tools in learning

Adaptive assignment of case study on learning performance

In evaluating the adaptive assignment of the case studies, the system's decision-making was put under scrutiny. The results revealed the sensitivity and accuracy of the system in inferring the user's knowledge level and assigning case study levels (beginner, intermediate, advance). As usual, two groups of users were involved. The first group (system assign) was asked to do a case study from a study level assigned by the system whereas the second group (self assign) selected a study level of their own choice. Their performance in the case studies was then compared.

In order to find out whether the assignment of case studies was accurate, a metric we called 'allocation fitness' was calculated by using the case study scores. For example, if a user scores between 0 and 30 per cent in a case study, the allocation fitness is set to 'too difficult' to indicate that the level of case study assigned was higher than the user's knowledge level. If the scores fall between 30 to 80 per cent, they will be considered 'optimal', indicating the right level of case study, and a score of above 80 per cent will give an allocation fitness of 'too easy'. Figure 14 shows the comparison between the allocation fitness of the 'system assign' group and the 'self assign' group. The result revealed that more than half the
students in the 'system assign' group were assigned case studies that were at 'too difficult' a level for them (Chi Square Test = 3.86, $p = 0.05$, significant level of 5 per cent). In this group, only 30 per cent of the users were correctly assigned the right level of case studies. This indicates the need for the tuning of the system's adaptive decision-making mechanism by reducing the current assignment threshold. On the other hand, the result of the 'self-assign' group revealed the majority of the users know the correct level of case studies for them. Nine students are in the 'optimal' category and six students fall in the 'too difficult' category. However this result is not significant ($\text{Chi-square} = 0.6, \ p = 0.439$), so we cannot claim that the users know better than the system in terms of the right level of case studies for them.

**Discussion**

From the pedagogical perspective, this evaluation has given insights into whether the adaptive features really benefit the students or whether they were technology-driven. Before any conclusion can be made, a few issues need to be taken into consideration.

Firstly, the outcome of this evaluation has shown that the effectiveness of the adaptive hypermedia features relies on what types of AH techniques are being used (link annotation or link hiding), where the features are applied (site map or topic map) and the nature of the tasks involved (general browsing or information look-up). Hence, the effectiveness of the adaptive hypermedia features could be both application and task-dependent. This is shown by the contradictory subjective feedback where the history-based link annotation (reading gauge) proved to be very useful in the personalized site map, but this is not the case for the personalized topic map.

Secondly, the accuracy of the adapted decision-making by the system also proves vital; for example in the case of adaptive link hiding in the personalized reading room, the outcome is disappointing due to the inaccurate user group assignment. The same problem arises with the adaptive case study assignment. The system's adaptation model needs fine tuning.
in order to improve the mapping of the current prior knowledge test score to different user groups.

Thirdly, the current system might not be sensitive enough in inferring the user's knowledge level. The prior knowledge test used, which consisted of multiple-choice questions, might be too general to capture the user's knowledge of the domain as compared with the level of complexity in the case study. Unfortunately, no test has been carried out thus far to verify the accuracy of the prior knowledge test. If the test proves inadequate, it might not be possible to develop a good set of questions to test the user's knowledge level correctly. Questions like this involve addressing cognitive issues which are beyond the scope of this work.

Conclusions

JointZone represents a medical Web-based learning application that embodies both declarative knowledge (domain knowledge) and procedural knowledge (interactive case studies) through a personalized and interactive learning environment. It allows users to engage with the learning materials more effectively through various adaptive linking strategies.

To simplify the adaptive hypermedia authoring, the idea of keyword indexing was used to model the domain, hence avoiding the need for prohibitive effort and time from the domain expert. A simple student model was constructed based on the user's knowledge level and browsing history. This contributed to the novel idea of using effective reading speed to gauge better if a student has read a page. The work has applied the combination of knowledge-based link hiding and history-based link annotation on a fully functional Website to present an adaptive Web-based learning environment.

The evaluation of various adaptive features on the Website has provided feedback from the users both subjectively and objectively. Overall, users welcomed the various navigational support features built into the application. The use of the topic map as navigational aid significantly improved the students' learning performance and reduced the time and navigation steps required compared with free browsing. Users' opinions revealed that they like the personalized features that are integrated into the Website, particularly the personalized site map which used the history-based link annotation. However, from the objective analysis, there is no evidence to show that the integration of the personalization features has improved learning or facilitated the learning process except to reduce the number of navigational steps. This might be due to the inaccurate system adaptation decision-making.

Based on the evaluation, it can be concluded that the potential of the personalized learning environment is promising as the students welcomed the personalized features in the learning environment. However, the implementation of the adaptive mechanisms still needs refinement, particularly in system-adapted decision-making before it can make a significant impact in Web-based learning.

JointZone has been officially published online and is currently in use with medical students (Armstrong et al., 2002). Further evaluations are planned over a longer period of time to obtain more reliable feedback from real-time usage.
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Appendix A: An online feedback questionnaire for usability study

### 3. Post Questionnaire

**Instruction:** Please select one feedback for each statement:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. The table of content (TOC) helps me a lot in getting around the site.</td>
<td>$c_1$</td>
<td>$c_2$</td>
<td>$c_3$</td>
<td>$c_4$</td>
<td>$c_5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. The depth of the hierarchy of the TOC is ideal.</td>
<td>$c_1$</td>
<td>$c_2$</td>
<td>$c_3$</td>
<td>$c_4$</td>
<td>$c_5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. The site map is useful.</td>
<td>$c_1$</td>
<td>$c_2$</td>
<td>$c_3$</td>
<td>$c_4$</td>
<td>$c_5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. I was always confused about 'where I was'.</td>
<td>$c_1$</td>
<td>$c_2$</td>
<td>$c_3$</td>
<td>$c_4$</td>
<td>$c_5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. I have difficulty locating the information required.</td>
<td>$c_1$</td>
<td>$c_2$</td>
<td>$c_3$</td>
<td>$c_4$</td>
<td>$c_5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Access</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. The registration process is easy and straightforward.</td>
<td>$c_1$</td>
<td>$c_2$</td>
<td>$c_3$</td>
<td>$c_4$</td>
<td>$c_5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. I have difficulty logging into the system.</td>
<td>$c_1$</td>
<td>$c_2$</td>
<td>$c_3$</td>
<td>$c_4$</td>
<td>$c_5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. The download time of the pages is too long.</td>
<td>$c_1$</td>
<td>$c_2$</td>
<td>$c_3$</td>
<td>$c_4$</td>
<td>$c_5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. The system response quickly to my input.</td>
<td>$c_1$</td>
<td>$c_2$</td>
<td>$c_3$</td>
<td>$c_4$</td>
<td>$c_5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. I found the overall access to the site convenient.</td>
<td>$c_1$</td>
<td>$c_2$</td>
<td>$c_3$</td>
<td>$c_4$</td>
<td>$c_5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Information Presentation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. The layout and structure of the website is clear and meaningful.</td>
<td>$c_1$</td>
<td>$c_2$</td>
<td>$c_3$</td>
<td>$c_4$</td>
<td>$c_5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. I don't like the colours of the site presentation.</td>
<td>$c_1$</td>
<td>$c_2$</td>
<td>$c_3$</td>
<td>$c_4$</td>
<td>$c_5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. The font size of the text displayed is too small or too big.</td>
<td>$c_1$</td>
<td>$c_2$</td>
<td>$c_3$</td>
<td>$c_4$</td>
<td>$c_5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. The length of the page is too long.</td>
<td>$c_1$</td>
<td>$c_2$</td>
<td>$c_3$</td>
<td>$c_4$</td>
<td>$c_5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. There is a consistent display of menu and TOC on every page.</td>
<td>$c_1$</td>
<td>$c_2$</td>
<td>$c_3$</td>
<td>$c_4$</td>
<td>$c_5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. The instructions given in the site are easily understood.</td>
<td>$c_1$</td>
<td>$c_2$</td>
<td>$c_3$</td>
<td>$c_4$</td>
<td>$c_5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subjective Satisfaction</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. I enjoy working with the site.</td>
<td>$c_1$</td>
<td>$c_2$</td>
<td>$c_3$</td>
<td>$c_4$</td>
<td>$c_5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. I like the presentation style of the web site.</td>
<td>$c_1$</td>
<td>$c_2$</td>
<td>$c_3$</td>
<td>$c_4$</td>
<td>$c_5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. I get frustrated because I don't have control with the system.</td>
<td>$c_1$</td>
<td>$c_2$</td>
<td>$c_3$</td>
<td>$c_4$</td>
<td>$c_5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. The case studies are clear and helpful.</td>
<td>$c_1$</td>
<td>$c_2$</td>
<td>$c_3$</td>
<td>$c_4$</td>
<td>$c_5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21. I felt the website provide a good opportunity to learn about ...</td>
<td>$c_1$</td>
<td>$c_2$</td>
<td>$c_3$</td>
<td>$c_4$</td>
<td>$c_5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22. I like the adaptive features on this site.</td>
<td>$c_1$</td>
<td>$c_2$</td>
<td>$c_3$</td>
<td>$c_4$</td>
<td>$c_5$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

23. Further Comment (optional):